

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTERREMARKS

APR 05 2007

The Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for granting an interview in this case on April 04, 2007. Claims 19 and 20 have been cancelled. Claims 36-38 have been added. Thus, claims 1-8, 10-17, 21-25, and 36-38 are now pending in this application. Claims 1-7, 10-17 and 19-25 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. (102)(e) as being anticipated by *Guthrie*. Claim 8 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Guthrie* further in view of *Smithson et al.*

Independent claims 1 and 16 have been amended to recite that a redirection program that receives a request for content, the program executing on a network component has 1) a scan module and 2) a proxy module. At the proxy module, the request for content is modified by adding a redirection destination header to the request so that it is redirected to a proxy server. The proxy server also receives user-defined configuration data from the proxy module. This data are sent to the proxy server during a negotiation phase of establishing a connection between the proxy module (in the redirection program) and the proxy server. After the redirection destination header that was added at the proxy module is removed, the response is transmitted from the proxy server to a target server. The claims now recite that when the response to the request for content is received at the proxy server, the server decodes the response and scans it for computer viruses, junk e-mail (spam), and pornographic content. If such content is detected, the response is further processed using the user-defined configuration data. If such undesirable content is not detected, the user-configuration data are not applied to the response. The response is then re-encoded and appended with a return address so that it can be finally transmitted to the user computer.

The *Guthrie* reference describes systems and methods for injecting code into an HTML document in a transparent manner so that the HTML page, when executed by a browser, can generate a component on the resulting Web page, such as a user interface component. The invention focuses on an injection system that is installed in a browser. The injection system performs the "injection" of code into the HTML document. One form of an injection system is interceptor code, which can function as a proxy server between the client, end-user computer and a target or destination server. The reference does not teach or describe a redirection component that has a scan module and a proxy module, where the proxy module communicates directly with a proxy server. The reference also does not teach the use of user-defined configuration data

pornographic content. For at least these reasons, it is believed that the claims as presently amended are not anticipated by the *Guthrie* reference.

Claims 17 and 22 have been amended to abide with 35 U.S.C. § 112 requirements to be consistent with the amendments to claim 16.

Applicant believes that all pending claims are allowable and respectfully requests a Notice of Allowance for this application from the Examiner.

Respectfully submitted,



Rupak Nag
Reg. No. 37,493

Beyer Weaver LLP
P.O. Box 70250
Oakland, CA 94612-0250
Telephone: (612) 252-3335